### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

List of Contributors

Preface

A Personal Foreword

#### 1 Introduction 1

Marianne Isabelle Martic-Kehl, Michael F.W. Festing, Carlos Alvarez, and P. August Schubiger

- 1.1 Animal Models in Biomedical Research 1
- 1.2 Animals in the Drug Development Process: Historic Background 2
- 1.3 Problems with Translation of Animal Data to the Clinic 5
- 1.4 Animal Studies in Anti-cancer Drug Development 6
- 1.5 Toward Relevant Animal Data 7
- 1.6 Aim of the Book 8

References 8

# **2 Ethical Aspects of the Use of Animals in Translational Research 11** *Karin Blumer*

- 2.1 Introduction 11
- 2.2 Today's R&D Environment 11
- 2.2.1 Four Emerging Trends Shaping Today's Debate 13
- 2.2.1.1 Growing Lack of Awareness of the Nature of Science and Research 13
- 2.2.1.2 Increased Pressure on Basic Research 14
- 2.2.1.3 Pressure to Assign "Special" Animals a Special Moral and Legal Status 15
- 2.2.1.4 A Reductionist Approach to the 3Rs 16
- 2.2.2 Preliminary Conclusions 17
- 2.3 "Do No Harm": the Essential Dilemma of Animal Research 17
- 2.4 Man and Animals in Philosophy: an Overview of Key Concepts 18

- 2.4.1 Anthropocentrism 19
- 2.4.2 Physiocentric Positions 19
- 2.4.2.1 Holistic Concepts 19
- 2.4.2.2 Radical Biocentrism 20
- 2.4.2.3 Pathocentrism 21
- 2.4.2.4 Moderate Biocentrism 22
- 2.5 Conclusions: Solving the Dilemma 23

### 3 Study Design 27

Michael F.W. Festing

- 3.1 Introduction 27
- 3.2 Design Principles 28
- 3.3 Experimental Design 28
- 3.3.1 The Five Characteristics of aWell-Designed Experiment 29
- 3.3.2 The Determination of Sample Size 34
- 3.3.2.1 Power Analysis for the Determination of Sample Size 34
- 3.3.2.2 The Resource Equation Method of Determining Sample Size 36
- 3.3.3 Formal Experimental Designs 36
- 3.4 Conclusion 39

References 39

### 4 Improving External Validity of Experimental Animal Data 41

- S. Helene Richter, Chiara Spinello, and Simone Macrì
- 4.1 Introduction 41
- 4.1.1 Individual Phenotype Is the Result of Genetic and Environmental Influences 41
- 4.1.2 Why Do Living Organisms Vary? 42
- 4.2 Variation in the Laboratory 43

4.2.1 How Is Inter-individual Variability Generally DealtW
--

- 4.2.1.1 Genetic Standardization 44
- 4.2.1.2 Environmental Standardization 44
- 4.2.1.3 Standardization of the Test Situation 46
- 4.3 The Fallacies 46
- 4.3.1 The Standardization Fallacy 46
- 4.3.2 The Developmental Match Fallacy 47
- 4.4 Future Perspectives: an Experimental Strategy Integrating Adaptive Plasticity and Fundamental Methodology 48
- 4.4.1 AWay Out of the Standardization Fallacy? 48
- 4.4.2 Favoring Adaptive Plasticity through the Provision of Test Strategies Matching Developmental Cues 53

### **5 How to End Selective Reporting in Animal Research 61** *Gerben ter Riet and Lex M. Bouter*

- 5.1 Introduction 61
- 5.2 Definition and Different Manifestations of Reporting Bias 63
- 5.3 Magnitude of Reporting Biases 63
- 5.4 Consequences 65
- 5.4.1 Consequences of Reporting Bias in Human Randomized Trials 65
- 5.4.2 Consequences of Reporting Bias in Experimental Animal Research 66
- 5.5 Causes of Reporting Bias 66
- 5.6 Solutions 68

References 73

## **6 A Comprehensive Overview of MouseModels in Oncology 79** *Divya Vats*

6.1 Introduction 79

- 6.2 Xenograft Mouse Models 81
- 6.2.1 Cell-Line Xenograft Model 81
- 6.2.2 Patient-derived Xenografts 82
- 6.3 Genetically Engineered Mouse Models 83
- 6.3.1 Limitations 85
- 6.3.2 Chemical Carcinogenesis: N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea Mutagenesis 86
- 6.3.2.1 Alkylnitrosamide Compounds 86
- 6.3.3 Generation of a Transgenic Mouse Using Pronuclear Injections: Direct Insertion of DNA into Fertilized Zygote 87
- 6.3.4 Gene Targeting via Homologous Recombination in Embryonic Stem Cells: Gene Knockouts and Knock-Ins 87
- 6.3.5 Conditional Inactivation (or Activation) of Genes 89
- 6.3.6 Inducible Systems for Gene Targeting 90
- 6.3.7 RNA Interference for Gene Knockdown 92
- 6.4 Applications for GEMMs in Compound Development 93
- 6.4.1 Target Validation and Compound Testing 93
- 6.4.2 Chemoresistance and Toxicity 94
- 6.4.3 In vivo Imaging 94
- 6.5 Humanized Mouse Models: toward a More Predictive Preclinical Mouse Model 95
- 6.6 Conclusions: Potentials, Limitations, and Future Directions for Mouse Models in Cancer Drug Development 98
- 6.6.1 Potentials and Limitations 98
- 6.6.2 Future Directions 100

## 7 Mouse Models of Advanced Spontaneous Metastasis for Experimental Therapeutics 109

Karla Parra, Irving Miramontes, Giulio Francia, and Robert S. Kerbel

7.1 Mouse Tumor Models in Cancer Research 109

- 7.2 The Evolution of Metronomic Chemotherapy 110
- 7.3 Development of Highly Aggressive and Spontaneously Metastatic Breast Cancer Models 112
- 7.4 IsThere Any Evidence that Models of Advanced Metastatic Disease Have the Potential to Improve Predicting Future Outcomes of a GivenTherapy in Patients? 113
- 7.5 Metronomic Chemotherapy Evaluation in Preclinical Metastasis Models 116
- 7.6 ExperimentalTherapeutics Using Metastatic Her-2 Positive Breast Cancer Xenografts Models 116
- 7.7 Examples of Recently Developed Orthotopic Models of Human Cancers 119
- 7.8 Factors that Can Affect the Usefulness of Preclinical Models in Evaluating NewTherapies 120
- 7.9 Monitoring Metastatic Disease Progression in Preclinical Models 120
- 7.10 Alternative Preclinical Models: PDX and GEMMs 121
- 7.11 Recommendations for the Evaluation of Anti-cancer Drugs Using Preclinical Models 122
- 7.12 Summary 123

#### 8 Spontaneous Animal Tumor Models 129

Andreas Pospischil, Katrin Grüntzig, Ramona Graf, and Gianluca Boo

- 8.1 Introduction 129
- 8.2 Advantages of Spontaneous Canine/Feline Cancer Registries 130
- 8.2.1 Effective and Relevant Canine/Feline Cancer Registries Necessary Steps and Existing Registries 131
- 8.2.1.1 Regional/National/International Population-based Human Cancer Registry with Sufficient Case Numbers and Patient Data 131
- 8.2.1.2 Regional/National Population-based Canine/Feline Cancer Registries 132
- 8.2.1.3 Comparative (Human/Canine/Feline) Geographic and Environmental Risk Assessment of Tumor Incidences 133
- 8.2.1.4 Tissue/Bio-bank Containing Canine/Feline Tumor Samples (Fresh Frozen, FFPE) for Necessary Re-valuation, and Further Testing 133

- 8.2.1.5 Comparative Testing of Genetic/Proteomic Tumor Markers on Different Tumor Tissue from Human and Animal Patients 134
- 8.3 Spontaneous Animal Tumors as Suitable Models for Human Cancers 134
- 8.3.1 Canine Tumors 134
- 8.3.2 Feline Tumors 134
- 8.4 The Swiss Canine/Feline Cancer Registry 1955–2008 135
- 8.4.1 Swiss Canine Cancer Registry 1955–2008 135
- 8.4.1.1 Tumor Location 135
- 8.4.1.2 Malignancy of the Most Common Tumor Diagnoses 136
- 8.4.1.3 Sex Distribution 136
- 8.4.1.4 Breed Distribution 138
- 8.4.1.5 Sample Catchment Area 140
- 8.4.2 The Swiss Feline Cancer Registry 1964–2008 140
- 8.4.2.1 Malignancy of the Most Common Tumor Diagnoses 141
- 8.4.2.2 Breed Distribution 141
- 8.4.2.3 Sex Distribution 142
- 8.4.2.4 Most Common Locations of Tumors (1%) 144
- 8.4.2.5 Catchment Area 144
- 8.4.3 Comparison of Swiss Canine, Feline, and Human Cancer Registry Data 146
- 8.4.4 Conclusion 147

#### 9 Dog Models of Naturally Occurring Cancer 153

Joelle M. Fenger, Jennie Lynn Rowell, Isain Zapata, William C. Kisseberth, Cheryl A. London, and Carlos E. Alvarez

- 9.1 Introduction 153
- 9.1.1 Animal Models of Human Disease and the Need for Alternatives to the Mouse 153

- 9.2 Advantages of Spontaneous Cancer Models in Dogs 155
- 9.2.1 High Level of Evolutionary Conservation with Humans 156
- 9.2.2 Reduced Heterogeneity within Breeds and Increased Variation across Breeds 157
- 9.2.3 Potential for Comprehensive Genotyping 163
- 9.2.4 Understanding Both Somatic and Germline Cancer Genetics 164
- 9.2.5 Translational Models 169
- 9.3 Dog Cancer Models 170
- 9.3.1 Canine Cancer Incidence 170
- 9.3.2 Genetics of Breed-Specific Cancer Models 177
- 9.3.2.1 Lymphoma 177
- 9.3.2.2 Osteosarcoma 181
- 9.4 Preclinical and Veterinary Translational Investigations in Dogs with Cancer 184
- 9.4.1 Preclinical Investigations in Dogs with Spontaneous Cancer 184
- 9.4.2 Conduct of Preclinical and Translational Studies in Pet Dogs with Cancer 186
- 9.4.3 Examples of Successful Preclinical Investigations in Pet Dogs with Cancer 190
- 9.5 Necessary Developments for Realizing the Potential of Canine Models 196
- 9.5.1 Epidemiology, Longitudinal Cohorts, Tissue Repositories, and Integrative Genomics 196
- 9.5.2 Improved Genome Annotation and Development of Key Research Areas 196
- 9.5.3 Opportunities for Understanding the Complete Biology of Spontaneous Cancers 197
- 9.5.4 Development of High-Impact Programs in Preclinical Cancer Studies 198
- 9.6 Key Challenges and Recommendations for Using Canine Models 200
- 9.6.1 Challenges of Population Structure in Dog Models 200
- 9.6.2 Recommendations for Optimal Results in Canine Preclinical Research 201
- 9.7 Conclusions 202

# 10 Improving Preclinical Cancer Models: Lessons from Human and Canine Clinical Trials of Metronomic Chemotherapy 223

Guido Bocci, Esther K. Lee, Anthony J. Mutsaers, and Urban Emmenegger

- 10.1 Introduction: Low-dose Metronomic Chemotherapy 223
- 10.2 Clinical Trials of Metronomic Chemotherapy 224
- 10.2.1 Achievements 224
- 10.2.2 Challenges 225
- 10.3 Veterinary Metronomic Trials in Pet Dogs with Cancer 227
- 10.3.1 Adjuvant Treatment 228
- 10.3.2 First-Line Therapy for Metastatic Disease 229
- 10.3.3 Biomarker Studies 229
- 10.3.4 Other Chemotherapy Drug Choices 230
- 10.3.5 Combination with Targeted Anti-angiogenic Drugs 230
- 10.3.6 Combining Metronomic and MTD Protocols 231
- 10.4 Lessons Learned from Clinical Trials: Improving the Predictability of Preclinical Models 231
- 10.4.1 Pharmacokinetic and Pharmacodynamic Studies in Preclinical Models 231
- 10.4.1.1 Pharmacokinetic Preclinical Studies of Metronomic Chemotherapy Regimens 233
- 10.4.1.2 Pharmacodynamic Analyses in Preclinical Studies 236
- 10.4.2 Pharmacogenomics in Animal Models 237
- 10.4.3 Pharmacoeconomics of Metronomic Chemotherapy 238
- 10.5 Conclusions 240

Acknowledgements 240

References 240

Index 247.